Page 1 of 6 FILE 17, 20

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

BY:	lad
	DEPUTY

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

# **WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS - WACO DIVISION**

PROFESSOR MICHAEL STEVEN MOATES, MA, QBA, LBA, LMHP, LCMHC 2700 COLORADO BOULEVARD APT 1526 DENTON, TEXAS 76210 MIKE@BEHAVIORMED.ORG

PLAINTIFF

VS.

JOSEPH R. BIDEN JR.
PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES
950 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20530-0001

STATE OF GEORGIA 40 CAPITOL SQUARE, SW ATLANTA, GA 30334

STATE OF LOUISIANA 1885 N. THIRD STREET BATON ROUGE, LA 70802

STATE OF MISSOURI P.O. BOX 899 JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65102

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA P.O. BOX 629 RALEIGH, NC 27602

STATE OF OKLAHOMA 313 NE 21ST STREET OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73105

STATE OF TEXAS 300 W. 15TH STREET, 7TH FLOOR AUSTIN, TX 78701

STATE OF VIRGINIA 202 NORTH NINTH STREET RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219 CASE NO. **6:22-cv-00626-ADA** 

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

WILLIAM BOURLAND, INDIVIDUALLY 7117 FORESTVIEW DR ARLINGTON, TX 76016 STATE OF WYOMING 109 STATE CAPITOL CHEYENNE, WY 82002 BRIAN FINDLAY, INDIVIDUALLY 6310 N MACARTHUR BLVD APT: 3039 **IRVING, TX 75039** MARIEL FERNANDEZ. INDIVIDUALLY 10503 METRIC DRIVE DALLAS, TX 7524 JOYCE MAUK, INDIVIDUALLY 1300 W LANCASTER FORT WORTH, TX 76102 CAROL SLOAN, INDIVIDUALLY 1120 MEDICAL PLAZA DRIVE SUITE 100 THE WOODLANDS, TX 77380 LAURIE SNYDER, INDIVIDUALLY PO BOX 92123 SOUTHLAKE, TEXAS 76092 STEPHANIE SOKOLOSKY, INDIVIDUALLY PO BOX 532228 HARLINGEN, TX 78553

## **EX PARTE MOTION TO COMPEL**

PLAINTIFF MICHAEL MOATES, MA, QBA, LBA, LCMHC HEREBY MOVES THE COURT TO ORDER DEFENDANTS STATE OF TEXAS AND TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATION TO PROVIDE THE FULL NAME, ADDRESS, PHONE NUMBER, AND EMAIL OF THE BEHAVIOR ANALYST ADVISORY BOARD OF THE STATE OF TEXAS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MICHAEL ARISMENDEZ, AND PROGRAM SPECIALIST KATIE BRICE.

THE PLAINTIFF SOUGHT TO GET THIS INFORMATION IN GOOD FAITH FROM
THE DEPARTMENT TO WHICH THE DEPARTMENT DECLINED. SEE EXHIBIT A
AND B. WE KNOW THE DEPARTMENT HAS THIS INFORMATION BECAUSE THEY
ADMIT IT IN THE EMAIL AND THEN SAY THEY DECLINE TO PASS IT ALONG.

THERE ARE A FEW DIFFERENT REASONS WHY THE PLAINTIFF NEEDS THIS INFORMATION. FIRST, THE PLAINTIFF WANTS MAKE SURE THAT SERVICE OF PROCESS IS COMPLETED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE. SECOND, THE PLAINTIFF FILED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND IS REQUESTING THE COURT SERVE VIA UNITED STATE MARSHALS. THE PLAINTIFF WOULD LIKE TO SAVE THE COURT AND THE MARSHAL SERVICE COSTS BY ASKING THE DEFENDANTS IF THEY WOULD BE WILLING TO SAVE SERVICE. THIRD, THIS INFORMATION IS NEEDED TO PROVIDE THESE DEFENDANTS FAIR NOTICE OF THE MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER THAT WILL BE FILED WITH THE COURT IN THE NEXT 48 HOURS. FURTHER THE PENDING MOTION FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF. FINALLY, THE PLAINTIFF WISHES TO SAVE THE OTHER DEFENDANTS FROM THE HUMILIATION AND EMBARRASSMENT OF BEING SERVED IN FRONT OF THEIR CO-WORKERS. THE PLAINTIFF DOES NOT HAVE ANY OTHER MEANS OF SERVICE.

### LEGAL STANDARD

AS THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA RULED IN TGG MANAGEMENT COMPANY INC. (DBA TGG ACCOUNTING) V JOHN PETRAGLIA, ET AL. (2019):

"RULE 26(D) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE GENERALLY
PROVIDES THAT FORMAL BY RULE 26(F). FED. R. CIV. P. 26(D)(1). COURTS MAY
PERMIT EXPEDITED DISCOVERY BEFORE THE RULE 26(F) CONFERENCE UPON

A SHOWING OF GOOD CAUSE. SEE APPLE INC. V. SAMSUNG ELECS. CO., 768 F. SUPP. 2D 1040, 1044 (N.D. CAL. 2011).

"GOOD CAUSE EXISTS WHEN THE NEED FOR EXPEDITED DISCOVERY, IN CONSIDERATION WITH THE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE, OUTWEIGHS THE PREJUDICE TO THE RESPONDING PARTY. SEE AM. LEGALNET, INC. V. DAVIS, 673 F. SUPP. 2D 1063, 1066 (C.D. CAL. 2009).

IN THIS CASE, THE PLAINTIFF SIMPLY WANTS TO ACT IN GOOD FAITH AND PROVIDE REASONABLE NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF LITIGATION AND TRO/PI HEARINGS.

THE EX PARTE MOTION IS NEEDED BECAUSE THE DEPARTMENT HAS SAID IT WILL "REVIEW OUR OPTIONS AND DECIDE WHAT BEST SUITS OUR INTERESTS" REGARDING WAIVING SERVICE. THUS, PLAINTIFFS NEED THIS ORDER PRIOR TO THEIR DECISION TO GIVE FAIR NOTICE TO ALL PARTIES OF THE TRO/PI HEARINGS. SEE EXHIBIT C.

IT IS NOT SURPRISING THAT THE DEPARTMENT WOULD SEEK TO DELAY THE PLAINTIFFS HEARINGS IN VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS CONSIDERING THAT WHEN THIS CASE WAS FIRST FILED IN STATE COURT, THE DEPARTMENT COMMITTED PERJURY AND WILFULLY LIED TO THE COURT ON TWO SEPARATE OCCASIONS WITH THE INTENTION OF MISLEADING THE COURT.

I THANK THE COURT FOR ITS CONSIDERATION TO CORRECT THIS
MISCARRAIGE OF JUSTICE AND ATTEMPT AT DELAYING AND OBSTRUCTING
THE PROCEEDINGS. IT IS IN THE BEST INTEREST OF JUSTICE THAT ALL
PARTIES ARE AWARE OF THE MOTION FOR TRO AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

VERY RESPECTFULLY,
/S/ PROF. MICHAEL MOATES, MA, QBA, LBA, LCMHC, LMHP
2700 COLORADO BOULEVARD APT 1526
DENTON, TX 76210
817-999-7534
MIKE@BEHAVIORMED.ORG

#### **EXHIBIT A**

Elizabeth Salinas - Strittmatter, counsel at Texas DLR, tells me that each board member must be served personally. Thus, without a waiver of service, US Marshals will have to serve you in person wherever you may be found. Thank you for your anticipated response.

If someone could kindly pass this along to Laurie Snyder and Joyce Mauk, I would appreciate it. I would have to have them served by US Marshal because I simply don't have their emails.

Thank you,

#### Prof. Michael Moates, MA, QBA, LBA, LCMHC

Adj. College Professor | Licensed Behavior Analyst | Licensed Clinical Mental Health Counselor Candidate for the Doctor of Education | Commissioned Notary Public, State of Texas

"Yesterday is not ours to recover but tomorrow is ours to win or lose."

President Lyndon B. Johnson

Confidentiality Notice: Information in this email may subject to the Health Insurance and Portability Accountability Act of 1996. If you received this email in error please contact mike@behaviormed.org or at 817-999-7534. Then kindly delete the content.

#### **EXHIBIT B**



Elizabeth Salinas-Strittmatter < Elizabeth.Salinas-Strittmatter@tdlr.texas.gov>









Thu 6/16/2022 8:08 PM

Cc: Brad Bowman <Brad.Bowman@tdlr.texas.gov>; Della Lindquist <Della.Lindquist@tdlr.texas.gov> +1 other

To: Michael Moates

You are suing Board members "in their personal capacity outside of their official positions" as stated below. Therefore, it is not this Department's responsibility to forward your e-mail to Ms. Snyder or Ms. Mauk and we decline to do so.

Elizabeth Salinas-Strittmatter

Assistant General Counsel

Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation

Cc: Susan Millsapps <Susan.Millsapps@tdlr.texas.gov>

### **EXHIBIT C**



Elizabeth Salinas-Strittmatter < Elizabeth.Salinas-Strittmatter@tdlr.texas.gov>











Mon 6/13/2022 11:01 AM

Mr. Moates.

I have reviewed your service packet. I note that you are attempting to sue "The State of Texas", but you do not name TDLR specifically. To the extent that you are seeking to sue TDLR, I will accept service on behalf of TDLR and its employees. Although TDLR is a state agency, it is not "The State of Texas". Accordingly, I cannot accept service on behalf of "The State of Texas". You will need to properly name TDLR as a Defendant and serve us under the agency's name. If you do not properly name TDLR, I will reject service.

We are considering whether to accept service via e-mail but will not sign anything today. We will review our options and decide what best suits our interests. Per the waiver that you attached; we have 30 days to decide how to proceed.

With regards to the Board members, your packet indicates that you are suing them in their individual capacities. We will not accept service on their behalf. You must serve them on your own and in accordance with the federal rules.

Regards

Elizabeth Salinas-Strittmatter

Assistant General Counsel

Texas Department of Licensing and Regulation